



IMPLEMENTATION REVIEW:

Date:

		Y	N	Notes
Governance Structure	Governance committee formed including all key stakeholders			
	The committee includes:			
	<i>Mayor</i>			
	<i>State Attorney General</i>			
	<i>Area Prosectutor(s)</i>			
	<i>Community leader</i>			
	<i>Support and Outreach agency head</i>			
	<i>Other</i>			
	Partners engaged in regular meetings			
	Committee views itself as responsible for holding initiative and project manager accountable			
	Full time, dedicated project manager in place to coordinate all components of the strategy			
	<i>Project manager communicates regularly with all subgroups</i>			
	<i>Project manager has access to shooting/homicide data in real time</i>			
	<i>Project manager has access to all executive stakeholders as needed for collaborative problem solving</i>			
	Is the government committee effective?			EXPLAIN:
	3 MONTH GOALS			
6 MONTH GOALS				
9 MONTH GOALS				

Law enforcement

	Y	N	Notes
Dedicated law enforcement liaison in place to help manage collaboration among law enforcement partners			
<i>LE lead is senior enough in his/her organization to drive implementation</i>			
<i>LE lead has access to LE executives within department as needed</i>			
Group audits include all partners			
Audits include:			
<i>Municipal PD enforcement team</i>			
<i>Municipal PD intelligence team</i>			
<i>Municipal PD homicide and agg assault detectives</i>			
<i>State Prison Director</i>			
<i>State Security Commisioner</i>			
<i>Probation/parole</i>			
<i>AG's office</i>			
<i>Director General of State Security Operations</i>			
<i>State PD intellegence team</i>			
<i>Other</i>			
Held on a regular basis (quarterly or biannually)			
Group data tracking mechanism is in place			
<i>Group list</i>			
<i>Group member list</i>			
<i>Vetting mechanism is in place</i>			
Are audits effective and useful?			EXPLAIN:
Utilizing social network analysis (SNA)			
<i>To understand group dynamics</i>			
<i>To build intelligence</i>			
Is SNA a useful tool?			EXPLAIN:

Law enforcement (continued)

		Y	N	Notes
Enforcement actions				
<i>Consistent follow through on first group to commit a homicide after a direct messaging campaign</i>				
<i>Intelligence driven most violent group decision</i>				
Partner coordination and participation (list all potential LE partners in notes)				
<i>Coordination mechanism in place (kickoff meeting, email, etc.)</i>				
<i>Focused on the individuals in the group(s)</i>				
<i>To Group: effective messaging tying enforcement to the violence</i>				
<i>To community: effective messaging tying enforcement to the violence</i>				
<i>Ability to track details of enforcement action (levers pulled by each partner agency; prosecutorial outcomes)</i>				
<i>After-action enforcement action internal debriefs</i>				
<i>Post-enforcement action events/community messaging</i>				
Are enforcement actions effective?				EXPLAIN:
Active working group meeting regularly with all LE partners to plan and execute enforcement actions				
Training plan in place to consistently refresh and update LE personnel on core strategy and day to day requirements				
3 MONTH GOALS				
6 MONTH GOALS				
9 MONTH GOALS				

Shooting Reviews

	Y	N	Notes
Shooting reviews include all partners			
Reviews include:			
<i>Municipal PD enforcement team</i>			
<i>Municipal PD intelligence team</i>			
<i>Municipal PD homicide and agg assault detectives</i>			
<i>State Prison Director</i>			
<i>State Security Commissioner</i>			
<i>Probation/parole</i>			
<i>AG's office</i>			
<i>Director General of State Security Operations</i>			
<i>State PD intelligence team</i>			
<i>Other</i>			
Meets weekly			
<i>Led by municipal PD commander</i>			
<i>Regularly reviews unclassified/pending incidents</i>			
Findings are communicated to support & outreach and/or community partners for input			
Shooting reviews inform decisions about potential custom notifications, CPRVVs, and overall support & outreach work			
Task management and follow up mechanism in place			
Incident tracking mechanism in place			
<i>Total homicides, total shootings, GMI homicides, GMI shooting Group scorecard</i>			
Are shooting reviews effective and useful?			EXPLAIN:

Support & Outreach

	Y	N	Notes
Full time, dedicated support and outreach coordinator			
Lead agency dedicated to prioritizing group members, helping coordinate S+O			
24/7 support			
<i>24/7 intake</i>			
<i>24/7 ongoing case management</i>			
Other, describe:			
Addressing trauma			
<i>Trauma counseling available</i>			
Other, describe:			
Affirmative outreach			
<i>Outreach workers</i>			
<i>Capacity to reach out to individuals rather than waiting for them to call</i>			
Protection from risk			
<i>Temporary relocation available</i>			
<i>Permanent relocation available</i>			
<i>Coordination with PD</i>			
Other, describe:			
"Big small stuff"			
<i>Funding available to remove immediate barriers to success and safety</i>			
Other, describe:			
Traditional services			
<i>GED programs, substance abuse, job readiness</i>			
Other, describe:			
Active working group including referral partners and ability to case conference and problem solve service gaps			
Ability to track individuals through support and outreach process			
<i>Revictimization, violent reoffending, and incarceration</i>			
<i>Programmatic progress</i>			
<i>Confidence in general case management provided by lead agency, coordinator, etc.</i>			
Other, describe:			
Which area has seen the most success? Is this having the desired effect?			EXPLAIN:
3 MONTH GOALS			
6 MONTH GOALS			
9 MONTH GOALS			

Community and Police Response to Victims of Violence (CPRVV)	CPRVVs are being conducted regularly/as needed			Y	N	Notes	
	<i>Done on every homicide</i>						
	<i>Done on every shooting</i>						
	<i>Done on consistent timetable</i>						
	Thoughtful plan in place for timely responses (often within 72 hours) to violent incidents						
	CPRVVs include:						
	<i>Law enforcement</i>						
	<i>Community member</i>						
	<i>Social services member</i>						
	<i>Public safety official? Other?</i>						
	CPRVVs remain on message						
	<i>Mourning and solidarity communicated</i>						
	<i>Include an offer of services and emotional support</i>						
	<i>CPRVVs are never done with the intent to investigate an incident</i>						
	CPRVVs are always recorded and tracked						
	CPRVVs always include follow-up						
	3 MONTH GOALS						
	6 MONTH GOALS						
9 MONTH GOALS							

Community	Moral voices identified and on board			Y	N	Notes	
	Mechanism(s) to connect moral voices with group population outside call-ins						
	Participation in call-ins						
	Participation in custom notifications						
	Post enforcement action events						
	Active working group						
	Which area has seen the most success? Is this having the desired effect?						
						EXPLAIN:	
	3 MONTH GOALS						
	6 MONTH GOALS						
9 MONTH GOALS							

		Y	N	Notes
		Maintains an approximate quarterly schedule and in response to violence		
Accurate messaging with fidelity to model				
<i>Law enforcement</i>				
<i>Support and outreach</i>				
<i>Community moral voice</i>				
Key partner participation in direct communication panel and audience				
Strategic group member attendance				
Strategic audience/community member attendance				
Fresh enforcement action presentation at each direct communication tied to commitments made at last direct communication				
Security protocol in place				
Ability to track attendance of group members and community members				
Direct Communication follow-ups in place				
<i>Ability to deliver sanctions to group members who do not attend, as appropriate</i>				
<i>Feedback delivered to speakers</i>				
<i>Solicitation of community feedback</i>				
Are Direct Communication's having the desired effect?				EXPLAIN:
3 MONTH GOALS				
6 MONTH GOALS				
9 MONTH GOALS				

Direct Communication Mechanism

		Y	N	Notes	
Custom notifications	Regular, strategic delivery to impact players from all active groups				
	Ability to deploy custom notifications in short order in response to violent incidents that might lead to retaliation				
	Community partner participation				
	S&O partner participation				
	Inclusion of custom materials (letter packet, etc.)				
	Inclusion of a custom legal assessment				
	Ability to track custom notification deliveries and manage any follow ups				
	Tracking mechanism				
	<i>Number attempted, number delivered</i>				
	<i>Groups receiving custom notifications</i>				
	<i>Re-arrest, re-victimization among recipients</i>				
	Are custom notifications having the desired effect?				
	3 MONTH GOALS				
	6 MONTH GOALS				
9 MONTH GOALS					

<u>FINAL SCORE</u>	What are you doing well?	
	What needs improvement?	
	What is particularly useful?	
	What haven't you found to be useful?	
	How would you score yourself overall (A, B, C, D, F)? Why?	